

EZELOGS

Product Tour and Buyer Use Cases

How construction stakeholders use Ezelogs intelligence

September 2026 • Public Commercial Collateral

Introduction

This document illustrates how different construction stakeholders may use Ezelogs throughout the project lifecycle.

Intelligence Flow Across Stakeholders

Field → Office → Project-level information flow with role-aware, entitlement-enforced access.

IMPORTANT: All scenarios in this document are illustrative examples—not customers, case studies, or production data. Numbers and outcomes are assumptions for demonstration purposes only.

Stakeholder Scenarios



Public Owner



General Contractor



Construction
Manager



Specialty Contractor

Scenario 1: Illustrative Public Infrastructure Owner

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO — Not a customer, case study, or production data

Illustrative Profile

- Multi-project capital program (illustrative)
- Multiple prime contractors
- Compliance documentation requirements

Challenge

Maintaining visibility across projects, scattered compliance documentation, institutional knowledge loss.

Illustrative Intelligence Journey

Project Review

Reviews Intelligence Home for project status and Needs Attention items.

Decision Workflow

Needs Attention surfaces items requiring approval with documentation context.

Knowledge Reuse

Universal Search for historical RFIs and lessons learned.

Note: Portfolio-level intelligence across multiple projects is **ROADMAP** — not currently available.

Scenario 2: Illustrative General Contractor

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO — Not a customer, case study, or production data

Illustrative Profile

- Regional commercial builder (illustrative)
- Field and office staff
- Mixed public and private work

Challenge

Field and office on different systems. RFIs lost in email. Manual daily coordination.

Illustrative Intelligence Journey

Field Coordination

Superintendent uses mobile for daily coordination and field documentation.

RFI Management

Project Engineer manages RFI lifecycle with response tracking and delay signals.

Project View

Project-level Intelligence Home with status and open issues.

Scenario 3: Illustrative Construction Manager

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO — Not a customer, case study, or production data

Illustrative Profile

- CM/owner's rep (illustrative)
- Program with multiple packages
- Reporting to stakeholders

Challenge

Coordinating multiple contractors, aggregating reporting, maintaining owner interests.

Illustrative Intelligence Journey

Role-Based Visibility

Configure access by role: PMs, stakeholders, contractors, inspectors.

Progress Tracking

Compile reports from authoritative records for stakeholder updates.

Quality Oversight

Track quality issues through Needs Attention workflow.

Note: Automated board reporting and systematic cross-project learning are **ROADMAP** — not currently available.

Scenario 4: Illustrative Specialty Contractor

ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO — Not a customer, case study, or production data

Illustrative Profile

- MEP contractor (illustrative)
- Field technicians
- Subcontractor to GCs

Challenge

Daily crew direction, trade coordination, payment documentation.

Illustrative Intelligence Journey

Crew Dispatch

Foreman reviews mobile for work areas and coordination notes.

Field Documentation


Technicians capture photos, materials, labor through mobile interface.

Payment Support

Generate documentation to support payment applications.

Information Flow Summary

Field → Office → Project Intelligence Flow



ARCHITECTURE_DIAGRAM — Conceptual information flow

Access Control

Role-Based Visibility

Each stakeholder sees only what they are authorized to see based on tenant, project, and role.

Human Governance

AI assists with recommendations. Humans approve all decisions with full traceability.

Document Version: AZH-02 • September 2026 • Public Commercial Collateral
All scenarios are illustrative—not customers, case studies, or production data.